1	Evan S. Nadel (SBN 213230) enadel@mintz.com		
2	MINTZ LEVIN COHN FERRIS GLOVSKY AND POPEO P.C.		
3	44 Montgomery Street, 36th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104		
4	Telephone: 415-432-6000		
5	T. Scott Thompson (pro hac vice forthcoming) sthompson@mintz.com Jonathan P. Garvin (pro hac vice forthcoming)		
6			
7	jpgarvin@mintz.com MINTZ LEVIN COHN FERRIS GLOVSKY AND POPEO P.C.		
8	701 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Suite 900 Washington, D.C. 20004		
9	Telephone: 202-434-7440		
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff,		
11	T-MOBILE WEST LLC		
12	UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT	
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
14	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
15			
16	T-MOBILE WEST LLC, a Delaware corporation,	Case No. 3:20-cv-8139	
17		COMPLAINT FOR	
18	Plaintiff,	DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF	
19	VS.		
20	THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, and THE CITY AND		
21	COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF BUILDING	Complaint Filed Trial Date: Not set	
22	INSPECTION; public entities organized and	That Date.	
23	existing under the laws of the State of California,		
24	Defendants.		
25			
26			
27			
28			

2

4

5

3

6

7

8

10 11

12

14

13

1516

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

Plaintiff T-Mobile West LLC ("T-Mobile"), alleges as follows:

#### **INTRODUCTION**

1. Plaintiff T-Mobile seeks a declaratory ruling affirming the "deemed granted" status of sixteen Eligible Facilities Request applications T-Mobile made to the City of San Francisco for the modification of T-Mobile's wireless transmission facilities pursuant to Section 6409(a) of the Spectrum Act (which is codified at 47 U.S.C. § 1455(a)). To improve and enhance its ability to serve its customers, particularly in light of the significant increase in demand driven by the Covid-19 pandemic, which has emphasized the importance of new technologies, such as 5G, distance learning, and a robust network, T-Mobile needs to modify and upgrade many of its existing wireless installations in the City of San Francisco. The modifications that T-Mobile needs to make are minor, frequently involving only swapping existing antennas and perhaps adding a small number of new antennas and associated equipment to existing rooftop installations. To accomplish those upgrades, starting as early as June 2020, T-Mobile submitted applications to the City via the City's electronic planning review process to obtain approval of T-Mobile's proposed modifications. However, T-Mobile has encountered significant delays by the City. Under Section 6409(a) of the Spectrum Act, the City must act on T-Mobile's modification applications within 60 days. Yet, for a group of 27 applications submitted by T-Mobile between June 24, 2020 and August 14, 2020, the City had not acted on the applications even by late October 2020, well over 60 days after they were submitted. The City also had not notified T-Mobile that any of those applications were incomplete. As of October 20, 2020, the City still had not acted on at least 27 of T-Mobile's applications. Accordingly, pursuant to Section 6409(a) and the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") rules implementing the statute, on October 20, 2020, T-Mobile notified the City in writing that the 27 applications were deemed granted. Since T-Mobile sent the deemed granted notice, the City has issued permits for 11 of the 27 applications, but for the remaining 16 applications, the City has not issued the permits necessary for T-Mobile to perform the modifications. Pursuant to the FCC's rules, T-Mobile now files this Complaint seeking a declaratory judgment providing the Court's imprimatur on the applications'

deemed granted status, and injunctive relief compelling the City to issue the permits for the outstanding applications.

# **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 2. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because of the federal questions arising under the Communications Act of 1934, as amended by Section 6409 of the Middle Class Tax Relief and Job Creation Act of 2012 ("Spectrum Act"), 47 U.S.C. § 1455(a). This Court has the authority to issue declaratory judgment relief pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2201(a).
- 3. Venue is proper in this Court under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) since the property that is subject to this action is situated in this District.

#### **PARTIES**

- 4. Plaintiff T-Mobile West, LLC ("T-Mobile") is a limited liability corporation duly organized, existing, and operating under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business in Bellevue, Washington. T-Mobile is authorized to do, and is doing, business within the State of California, and in the City and County of San Francisco. T-Mobile is the operating entity in California for T-Mobile USA Inc. T-Mobile is a wholly-owned subsidiary of T-Mobile USA, Inc. Both T-Mobile and T-Mobile USA, Inc. are Delaware corporations, with their principal places of business in Bellevue, Washington. T-Mobile uses FCC licenses held by related T-Mobile USA, Inc. entities to provide commercial mobile radio service within the City and County of San Francisco, and surrounding areas, as part of T-Mobile USA, Inc.'s national wireless network. Among other things, T-Mobile is a "common carrier" and "telecommunications carrier" which provides "personal wireless services" and "advanced wireless services," as those terms are defined and commonly used in the Communications Act and the rules, regulations and orders promulgated by the FCC pursuant to this overall statutory scheme.
- 5. Defendant City and County of San Francisco ("San Francisco") is a charter city, duly organized and existing under the Constitution and laws of the State of California.
  - 6. Defendant City and County of San Francisco Department of Building Inspection is

an agency of the City and County of San Francisco.

# **BACKGROUND AND FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

#### **Federal Control over Wireless Facilities Modification**

- 7. The demand for mobile services has never been higher. Technological changes have revolutionized the wireless network landscape. One such change is the advent of 5G wireless networks.
- 8. Section 151 of the Communications Act establishes a national policy to "make available, so far as possible, to all people of the United States, without discrimination ... a rapid, efficient, Nation-wide, and world-wide wire and radio communication service with adequate facilities at reasonable charges, for the purpose of national defense, [and] for the purpose of promoting safety of life and property through the use of wire and radio communications . . . ." 47 U.S.C. § 151.
- 9. Section 706 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 provides that "[t]he Commission and each State commission with regulatory jurisdiction over telecommunications services shall encourage the deployment on a reasonable and timely basis of advanced telecommunications capability to all Americans . . . by utilizing, in a manner consistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity . . . regulating methods that remove barriers to infrastructure investment." 47 U.S.C. § 1302(a).
- 10. Consistent with these policies, Congress and the FCC have sought to eliminate barriers and streamline the regulatory review process to facilitate deployment and modification of wireless communications infrastructure necessary for the efficient creation of new and upgraded wireless networks such as 5G.
- 11. In 2012, Congress enacted Section 6409 of the Spectrum Act.<sup>1</sup> Under Section 6409(a) of the Spectrum Act, "a State or local government may not deny, and shall approve any eligible facilities request for a modification of an existing tower or base station that does not

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Section 6409 has been codified in the Communications Act as 47 U.S.C. § 1455, however the it is commonly referred to as "Section 6409" in reference to its location in the Spectrum Act.

substantially change the physical dimensions of such tower or base station." 47 U.S.C. § 1455(a).

- 12. In 2014, the FCC adopted rules implementing section 6409(a). *Acceleration of Broadband Deployment by Improving Wireless Facilities Siting Policies*, Report and Order, 29 FCC Rcd 12865, ¶¶ 135-242 (2014) (2014 Infrastructure Order). The Rules were affirmed on appeal in *Montgomery County v. FCC*, 811 F.3d 121 (4th Cir. 2015).
- 13. The rules provide that a State or local government must approve an eligible facilities request within 60 days from the date that an applicant submits its request. 47 C.F.R. § 1.6100(c)(2). As the FCC explained in the 2014 Infrastructure Order, Section 6409(a) applies to towers, base stations, and transmission equipment so long as they are used "in connection with any Commission-authorized wireless communications service." 2014 Infrastructure Order, 29 FCC Rcd at ¶ 149.
- 14. In a *June 2020 Declaratory Ruling*, the Commission further clarified Section 6409, clarifying that the 60-day "shot clock" begins to run "when an applicant takes the first procedural step in a locality's application process and submits written documentation showing that proposed modification is an eligible facilities request." *Implementation of State and Local Governments' Obligation to Approve Certain Wireless Facility Modification Requests Under Section 6409(a) of the Spectrum Act of 2012*, Declaratory Ruling and Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, 35 FCC Rcd 5977, ¶ 12 (2020) ("2020 Declaratory Ruling"). The FCC explained that this clarification would provide certainty regarding the commencement of the 60-day timeline, especially in localities where applications may need approval from multiple, separate entities. *Id.* at ¶ 15.
- 15. In the 2020 Declaratory Ruling, the FCC also clarified that State and local reviewing authorities may not delay the commencement of the 60-day review timeline by (1) establishing a "first step" that is outside an applicant's control; (2) defining a "first step" that is a combination or sequence of steps rather than a single action; or (3) refusing to accept an applicant's submission intended to satisfy the written eligible facilities request requirement. *Id.* at ¶¶ 18–22.
  - 16. Pursuant to 47 C.F.R. § 1.6100(b)(3), an "eligible facilities request" is "any request

for modification of an existing tower or base station that does not substantially change the physical dimensions of the tower or base station, involving (i) Collocation of new transmission equipment; (ii) Removal of transmission equipment; or (iii) Replacement of transmission equipment. 47 C.F.R. § 1.6100(b)(3); 47 U.S.C. § 1455(a)(2).

- 17. Pursuant to 47 C.F.R. § 1.6100(b)(5), "existing" means, a constructed base station that "has been reviewed and approved under the applicable zoning or siting process, or under another State or local regulatory review process, provided that a tower that has not been reviewed and approved because it was not in a zoned area when it was built, but was lawfully constructed, is existing for purposes of this definition." 47 C.F.R. 1.6100(b)(5).
- 18. Pursuant to Section 1.6100(b)(9), a "Tower" is defined as "Any structure built for the sole or primary purpose of supporting any Commission-licensed or authorized antennas and their associated facilities, including structures that are constructed for wireless communications services including, but not limited to, private, broadcast, and public safety services, as well as unlicensed wireless services and fixed wireless services such as microwave backhaul, and the associated site." 47 C.F.R. § 1.6100(b)(9).
- 19. Any tower or base station as defined by the Commission's rules in Section 1.6100, is considered an "eligible support structure" if that tower or base station existed at the time the application for modification was submitted under the rules outlined in Section 1.6100. 47 C.F.R. § 1.6100(b)(4).
- 20. Pursuant to 47 C.F.R § 1.6100(b)(7), a modification substantially changes the physical dimensions of a tower or base station, or eligible support structure, when the modification:
  - (i) For towers other than towers in the public rights-of-way, it increases the height of the tower by more than 10% or by the height of one additional antenna array with separation from the nearest existing antenna not to exceed twenty feet, whichever is greater; for other eligible support structures, it increases the height of the structure by more than 10% or more than ten feet, whichever is greater;
    - (ii) For towers other than towers in the public rights-of-way, it involves adding an appurtenance to the body of the tower that would protrude from the edge of the tower more than twenty feet, or more than the width of the tower structure at the level of the

appurtenance, whichever is greater; for other eligible support structures, it involves adding an appurtenance to the body of the structure that would protrude from the edge of the structure by more than six feet;

- (iii) For any eligible support structure, it involves installation of more than the standard number of new equipment cabinets for the technology involved, but not to exceed four cabinets; or, for towers in the public rights-of-way and base stations, it involves installation of any new equipment cabinets on the ground if there are no pre-existing ground cabinets associated with the structure, or else involves installation of ground cabinets that are more than 10% larger in height or overall volume than any other ground cabinets associated with the structure;
  - (iv) It entails any excavation or deployment outside the current site;
  - (v) It would defeat the concealment elements of the eligible support structure; or
- (vi) It does not comply with conditions associated with the siting approval of the construction or modification of the eligible support structure or base station equipment, provided however that this limitation does not apply to any modification that is non-compliant only in a manner that would not exceed the thresholds identified in §1.40001(b)(7)(i) through (iv).

47 C.F.R. § 1.6100(b)(7)(i)–(vi).

- 21. Pursuant to 47 C.F.R. § 1.6100(b)(8), "transmission equipment" is defined as "equipment that facilitates transmission for any Commission-licensed or authorized wireless communications service." 47 C.F.R. § 1.6100(b)(8). The FCC has interpreted this to include "antennas and other equipment associated with and necessary to their operation, including power supply cable and backup power equipment." 2014 Infrastructure Order 29 FCC Rcd at ¶ 158.
- 22. Pursuant to 47 C.F.R. § 1.6100(b)(2), "collocation" is the mounting or installation of transmission equipment on a tower for the purpose of transmitting and/or receiving radio frequency signals for communications purposes. 47 C.F.R. § 1.6100(b)(2).
- 23. Pursuant to 47 C.F.R. § 1.6100(c)(1), "[w]hen an applicant asserts in writing that a request for modification is covered by this section, a State or local government may require the applicant to provide documentation or information only to the extent reasonably related to determining whether the request meets the requirements of this section. A State or local government may not require an applicant to submit any other documentation, including but not limited to documentation intended to illustrate the need for such wireless facilities or to justify the business decision to modify such wireless facilities." 47 C.F.R. § 1.6100(c)(1).

- 24. Although the 60-day period commences when the application is filed, the timeframe may only be tolled by either mutual agreement or in cases where the reviewing authority determines that the application is incomplete. Notably, the deadline is not tolled by a moratorium on review of applications. Pursuant to Section 1.6100(c)(3)(i), "to toll the timeframe for incompleteness, the reviewing State or local government must provide written notice to the applicant within 30 days of receipt of the application." 47 C.F.R. § 1.6100(c)(3)(i). The notice must "clearly and specifically delineat[e] all missing documents or information." *Id.* A reviewing authority may only toll the shot clock for missing information that complies with Section 1.6100(c)(1). *Id.*
- 25. Under the FCC's rules, if the State or local reviewing authority fails to act on an eligible facilities request application within 60 days, the applicant may notify the reviewing jurisdiction that the application has been "deemed granted." The applicant must notify the reviewing authority, as the deemed grant does not become effective until notice is given in writing. 47 C.F.R. § 1.6100(c)(2); see also 2014 Infrastructure Order at ¶ 215; see also 2020 Declaratory Ruling at ¶ 16.
- 26. In the 2014 Infrastructure Order, the Commission outlined the remedies available when a modification or collocation application has been deemed granted. The Commission found that the most appropriate recourse for an aggrieved party was to seek relief from a court of competent jurisdiction. The Commission noted claims for relief would typically be one of three options: (1) an applicant might seek to challenge the denial of an application; (2) an applicant may invoke its right to deem granted its application, upon which the reviewing authority might seek to challenge the applicant's deemed grant; or (3) an applicant that deems granted its application might seek some form of judicial imprimatur for the grant by filing a request for declaratory judgment or other relief as the court might find appropriate. 2014 Infrastructure Order at ¶ 236.

#### **T-Mobile's Wireless Communications Service**

27. T-Mobile provides commercial mobile radio services, personal and advanced

wireless services, as well as other telecommunications services, as those terms are defined under federal law, in and around the city of San Francisco.

- 28. T-Mobile West, as the operating entity of T-Mobile USA, uses licenses issued by the FCC pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 151 to provide wireless communications services in an around the city of San Francisco.
- 29. T-Mobile seeks to provide a variety of wireless communications services to local businesses, public safety entities, and the general public. To achieve these goals, and consistent with the FCC's national policies enumerated by 47 U.S.C. § 151 and Section 706 of the Communications Act, T-Mobile maintains a network of "cell sites" each of which consist of antennas and other electronic communications equipment designed to send and receive radio signals for the purpose of creating and maintaining a wireless communications network.
- 30. The antennas located at T-Mobile's cell sites transmit and receive radio frequency signals that provide wireless communications services to personal communications devices, such as cellular telephones.
- 31. To provide reliable service to these devices, coverage from the transmissions of each cell site must overlap in a specific pattern, within a geographic area, and cell cites need to maintain sufficient capacity to receive and send transmissions so as to handle the demand for limited wireless spectrum.
- 32. Meeting capacity demands for service, in part, relies on maintaining a sufficient number of functioning antennas at any given cell site. Consumers of wireless communications services not only demand reliable service but also high capacity service in terms of download speeds and data transmission. Newer technologies or additional spectrum allow T-Mobile to provide such service, but require additional as well as newer antennas designed for this purpose. In order to maintain a reliable and high capacity wireless communications network, T-Mobile must periodically add additional or replace older antennas at its sites.

## **T-Mobile's Eligible Facilities Requests**

33. T-Mobile has identified a number of its sites within the City of San Francisco that

must be modified, consistent with T-Mobile's goals of providing reliable and high capacity wireless services. As discussed below, none of T-Mobile's modifications involve substantial changes to the current base station. Each application proposes to modify an existing base station, or eligible structure, by adding or replacing one or more antennas designed to facilitate transmission of T-Mobile's licenses wireless communications service and by adding or replacing related equipment.

- 34. As set forth in detail below, in order to effectuate at least some of its facilities upgrades, prior to and up to August 14, 2020, T-Mobile submitted to the electronic planning review 38 Eligible Facilities Request applications seeking to modify sites.
- 35. Each application proposed a modification that meets the definition of an Eligible Facilities request, and no proposed modification would, consistent with the statute and the FCC's rules, substantially increase the physical dimensions of the existing base station.
- 36. As set forth below, this case addresses some, but not all, of the applications submitted prior to August 14, 2020. Each of the Eligible Facilities Request applications at issue in this Complaint was submitted over 60 days prior to October 20, 2020. On October 20, 2020, T-Mobile sent the City written notice that the applications that are the topic of this Complaint, set forth, below were deemed granted. A copy of the October 20, 2020 deemed granted notice is attached hereto as Exhibit A.
- 37. As of November 18, 2020, 16 Eligible Facilities Request applications, submitted between June 24, 2020 and August 14, 2020 the City has not acted on the 16 applications set forth below.
- 38. Pursuant to 47 C.F.R. § 1.6100(c)(5), T-Mobile may bring claims related to Section 6409(a) to any court of competent jurisdictions. 47 C.F.R. § 1.6100(c)(5). This includes claims for judicial imprimatur of T-Mobile's deemed granted status and a judicial declaration to that effect.

#### The Applications At Issue

39. On June 24, 2020, T-Mobile Submitted an eligible facilities request application to

6

4

8

9

1112

13

14 15

16

1718

19

20

2122

23

2425

26

27

28

modify its existing wireless transmission facility located on the roof of a building at 965 Sutter St. (Site ID SF70072M/Permit # 202008282887). The application proposed to modify the existing base station by replacing 6 existing antennas and adding 4 new antennas, 6 remote radio units (RRUs) and 2 equipment cabinets. The proposed modification would not substantially change the physical dimensions of the support structure. The proposed modification would not increase the height of the support structure by 10% or 10 feet; the modification would not add an appurtenance to the body of the support structure that would protrude from the edge of the structure by more than 6 feet; the modification does not install more than the standard number of new equipment cabinets for the technology involved, not to exceed four cabinets; the modification does not involve any excavation or deployment outside the current site; the modification does not defeat any concealment elements; and the modification does not violate any conditions associated with the siting approval. San Francisco did not notify T-Mobile in writing of any incompleteness in its application. San Francisco did not act on the application within 60 days after June 24, 2020. Consequently, on October 20, 2020, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 1455(a) and 47 C.F.R. § 1.6100, T-Mobile notified San Francisco that it deemed this application granted.

40. On June 25, 2020, T-Mobile Submitted an eligible facilities request application to modify its existing wireless transmission facility located on the roof of a building at 1398 Bryant St. (Site ID SF03022A/Permit # 202008262665). The application proposed to modify the existing base station by replacing 3 antennas and removing 3 RRUs and replacing 3 RRS. The modification would not substantially change the physical dimensions of the support structure. The proposed modification would not increase the height of the support structure by 10% or 10 feet; the modification would not add an appurtenance to the body of the support structure that would protrude from the edge of the structure by more than 6 feet; the modification does not install more than the standard number of new equipment cabinets for the technology involved, not to exceed four cabinets; the modification does not involve any excavation or deployment outside the current site; the modification does not defeat any concealment elements; and the modification

11

15 16

14

17

19

18

2021

2223

2425

26

2728

does not violate any conditions associated with the siting approval. San Francisco did not notify T-Mobile in writing of any incompleteness in its application. San Francisco did not act on the application within 60 days after June 25, 2020. Consequently, on October 20, 2020, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 1455(a) and 47 C.F.R. § 1.6100, T-Mobile notified San Francisco that it deemed this application granted.

- 41. On July 2, 2020, T-Mobile Submitted an eligible facilities request application to modify its existing wireless transmission facility located on the roof of a building at 965 Mission St. (Site ID SF70039M/Permit # 202009093747). The application proposed to modify the existing base station by replacing 3 antennas and adding 3 RRUs. The modification would not substantially change the physical dimensions of the support structure. The proposed modification would not increase the height of the support structure by 10% or 10 feet; the modification would not add an appurtenance to the body of the support structure that would protrude from the edge of the structure by more than 6 feet; the modification does not install more than the standard number of new equipment cabinets for the technology involved, not to exceed four cabinets; the modification does not involve any excavation or deployment outside the current site; the modification does not defeat any concealment elements; and the modification does not violate any conditions associated with the siting approval. San Francisco did not notify T-Mobile in writing of any incompleteness in its application. San Francisco did not act on the application within 60 days after July 2, 2020. Consequently, on October 20, 2020, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 1455(a) and 47 C.F.R. § 1.6100, T-Mobile notified San Francisco that it deemed this application granted.
- 42. On July 16, 2020, T-Mobile Submitted an eligible facilities request application to modify its existing wireless transmission facility located on the roof of a building at 510 26<sup>th</sup> Ave. (Site ID SF03581A/Permit # 202009043504). The application proposed to modify the existing base station by adding 3 antennas, adding 3 RRUs, removing one equipment cabinet, and adding 2 equipment cabinets. The modification would not substantially change the physical dimensions of the support structure. The proposed modification would not increase the height of the support

8

5

11

12

25

2627

28

structure by 10% or 10 feet; the modification would not add an appurtenance to the body of the support structure that would protrude from the edge of the structure by more than 6 feet; the modification does not install more than the standard number of new equipment cabinets for the technology involved, not to exceed four cabinets; the modification does not involve any excavation or deployment outside the current site; the modification does not defeat any concealment elements; and the modification does not violate any conditions associated with the siting approval. San Francisco did not notify T-Mobile in writing of any incompleteness in its application. San Francisco did not act on the application within 60 days after July 16, 2020. Consequently, on October 20, 2020, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 1455(a) and 47 C.F.R. § 1.6100, T-Mobile notified San Francisco that it deemed this application granted.

43. On July 20, 2020, T-Mobile Submitted an eligible facilities request application to modify its existing wireless transmission facility located on the roof of a building at 2601 Mariposa St. (Site ID SF03456A/Permit # 202010076130). The application proposed to modifying the existing base station by adding 3 antennas, removing and replacing 3 RRUs, removing 1 equipment cabinet, and adding 2 cabinets. The modification would not substantially change the physical dimensions of the support structure. The proposed modification would not increase the height of the support structure by 10% or 10 feet; the modification would not add an appurtenance to the body of the support structure that would protrude from the edge of the structure by more than 6 feet; the modification does not install more than the standard number of new equipment cabinets for the technology involved, not to exceed four cabinets; the modification does not involve any excavation or deployment outside the current site; the modification does not defeat any concealment elements; and the modification does not violate any conditions associated with the siting approval. San Francisco did not notify T-Mobile in writing of any incompleteness in its application. San Francisco did not act on the application within 60 days after July 20, 2020. Consequently, on October 20, 2020, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 1455(a) and 47 C.F.R. § 1.6100, T-Mobile notified San Francisco that it deemed this application granted.

6

1

11 12

10

14

15

13

16

17

18

1920

2122

23

24

25

27

26

28

- 44. On July 29, 2020, T-Mobile Submitted an eligible facilities request application to modify its existing wireless transmission facility located on the roof of a building at 555 Market St. (Site ID SF53572B/Permit # 202009033415). The application proposed to modify the existing base station by replacing 3 antennas, adding 3 new antennas, and removing and replacing 3 RRUs. The modification would not substantially change the physical dimensions of the support structure. The proposed modification would not increase the height of the support structure by 10% or 10 feet; the modification would not add an appurtenance to the body of the support structure that would protrude from the edge of the structure by more than 6 feet; the modification does not install more than the standard number of new equipment cabinets for the technology involved, not to exceed four cabinets; the modification does not involve any excavation or deployment outside the current site; the modification does not defeat any concealment elements; and the modification does not violate any conditions associated with the siting approval. San Francisco did not notify T-Mobile in writing of any incompleteness in its application. San Francisco did not act on the application within 60 days after July 29, 2020. Consequently, on October 20, 2020, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 1455(a) and 47 C.F.R. § 1.6100, T-Mobile notified San Francisco that it deemed this application granted.
- 45. On July 31, 2020, T-Mobile Submitted an eligible facilities request application to modify its existing wireless transmission facility located on the roof of a building at 450 Harrison St. (Site ID SF03287A/Permit # 202009043497). The application proposed to modify the existing base station by replacing 3 existing antennas, adding 3 antennas, adding 3 new RRUs, and adding 2 cabinets. The modification would not substantially change the physical dimensions of the support structure. The proposed modification would not increase the height of the support structure by 10% or 10 feet; the modification would not add an appurtenance to the body of the support structure that would protrude from the edge of the structure by more than 6 feet; the modification does not install more than the standard number of new equipment cabinets for the technology involved, not to exceed four cabinets; the modification does not involve any excavation or deployment outside the current site; the modification does not defeat any

11

15

16

14

17

18 19

20

21

2223

2425

26

28

27

concealment elements; and the modification does not violate any conditions associated with the siting approval. San Francisco did not notify T-Mobile in writing of any incompleteness in its application. San Francisco did not act on the application within 60 days after July 31, 2020. Consequently, on October 20, 2020, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 1455(a) and 47 C.F.R. § 1.6100, T-Mobile notified San Francisco that it deemed this application granted.

- 46. On August 4, 2020, T-Mobile Submitted an eligible facilities request application to modify its existing wireless transmission facility located on the roof of a building at 375 Alabama St. (Site ID SF70045M/Permit # 202009174385). The application proposed to modify the existing base station by replacing 6 existing antennas, adding 3 antennas, adding 6 RRUs, and adding 2 cabinets. The modification would not substantially change the physical dimensions of the support structure. The proposed modification would not increase the height of the support structure by 10% or 10 feet; the modification would not add an appurtenance to the body of the support structure that would protrude from the edge of the structure by more than 6 feet; the modification does not install more than the standard number of new equipment cabinets for the technology involved, not to exceed four cabinets; the modification does not involve any excavation or deployment outside the current site; the modification does not defeat any concealment elements; and the modification does not violate any conditions associated with the siting approval. San Francisco did not notify T-Mobile in writing of any incompleteness in its application. San Francisco did not act on the application within 60 days after August 4, 2020. Consequently, on October 20, 2020, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 1455(a) and 47 C.F.R. § 1.6100, T-Mobile notified San Francisco that it deemed this application granted.
- 47. On August 6, 2020, T-Mobile Submitted an eligible facilities request application to modify its existing wireless transmission facility located on the roof of a building at 611 2<sup>nd</sup> St. (Site ID SF90341E/Permit # 202009184471). The application proposed to modify the existing base station by relocating 3 existing antennas, adding 6 new antennas, relocating 3 RRUs, and adding 3 new RRUs. The modification would not substantially change the physical dimensions of the support structure. The proposed modification would not increase the height of the support

5

8

11

1415

1617

18

19

20

2122

23

2425

26

27

28

support structure that would protrude from the edge of the structure by more than 6 feet; the modification does not install more than the standard number of new equipment cabinets for the technology involved, not to exceed four cabinets; the modification does not involve any excavation or deployment outside the current site; the modification does not defeat any concealment elements; and the modification does not violate any conditions associated with the siting approval. San Francisco did not notify T-Mobile in writing of any incompleteness in its application. San Francisco did not act on the application within 60 days after August 6, 2020. Consequently, on October 20, 2020, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 1455(a) and 47 C.F.R. § 1.6100, T-Mobile notified San Francisco that it deemed this application granted.

structure by 10% or 10 feet; the modification would not add an appurtenance to the body of the

- 48. On August 6, 2020, T-Mobile Submitted an eligible facilities request application to modify its existing wireless transmission facility located on the roof of a building at 2696 Geary Blvd. (Site ID SF03579A/Permit # 202009174400). The application proposed to modify the existing base station by adding 3 new antennas, removing and replacing 3 existing RRUs, and removing and replacing 1 cabinet. The modification would not substantially change the physical dimensions of the support structure. The proposed modification would not increase the height of the support structure by 10% or 10 feet; the modification would not add an appurtenance to the body of the support structure that would protrude from the edge of the structure by more than 6 feet; the modification does not install more than the standard number of new equipment cabinets for the technology involved, not to exceed four cabinets; the modification does not involve any excavation or deployment outside the current site; the modification does not defeat any concealment elements; and the modification does not violate any conditions associated with the siting approval. San Francisco did not notify T-Mobile in writing of any incompleteness in its application. San Francisco did not act on the application within 60 days after August 6, 2020. Consequently, on October 20, 2020, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 1455(a) and 47 C.F.R. § 1.6100, T-Mobile notified San Francisco that it deemed this application granted.
  - 49. On August 7, 2020, T-Mobile Submitted an eligible facilities request application to

modify its existing wireless transmission facility located on the roof of a building at 510 Frederick (Site ID SF13009C/Permit # 202009234793). The application proposed to modify the existing base station by removing 3 antennas, adding 9 antennas, and adding 3 RRUs. The modification would not substantially change the physical dimensions of the support structure. The proposed modification would not increase the height of the support structure by 10% or 10 feet; the modification would not add an appurtenance to the body of the support structure that would protrude from the edge of the structure by more than 6 feet; the modification does not install more than the standard number of new equipment cabinets for the technology involved, not to exceed four cabinets; the modification does not involve any excavation or deployment outside the current site; the modification does not defeat any concealment elements; and the modification does not violate any conditions associated with the siting approval. San Francisco did not notify T-Mobile in writing of any incompleteness in its application. San Francisco did not act on the application within 60 days after August 7, 2020. Consequently, on October 20, 2020, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 1455(a) and 47 C.F.R. § 1.6100, T-Mobile notified San Francisco that it deemed this application granted.

50. On August 7, 2020, T-Mobile Submitted an eligible facilities request application to modify its existing wireless transmission facility located on the roof of a building at 430 Bush St. (Site ID SF03006A/Permit # 202009174419). The application proposed to modify the existing base station by removing 3 antennas, adding 10 antennas, and adding 3 RRUs. The modification would not substantially change the physical dimensions of the support structure. The proposed modification would not increase the height of the support structure by 10% or 10 feet; the modification would not add an appurtenance to the body of the support structure that would protrude from the edge of the structure by more than 6 feet; the modification does not install more than the standard number of new equipment cabinets for the technology involved, not to exceed four cabinets; the modification does not involve any excavation or deployment outside the current site; the modification does not defeat any concealment elements; and the modification does not violate any conditions associated with the siting approval. San Francisco did not notify T-Mobile

1112

10

14

15

13

16

17

18

19 20

21

2223

24

26

25

27

28

in writing of any incompleteness in its application. San Francisco did not act on the application within 60 days after August 7, 2020. Consequently, on October 20, 2020, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 1455(a) and 47 C.F.R. § 1.6100, T-Mobile notified San Francisco that it deemed this application granted.

- 51. On August 7, 2020, T-Mobile Submitted an eligible facilities request application to modify its existing wireless transmission facility located on the roof of a building at 1485 Bush St. (Site ID SF03010A/Permit # 202009184476). The application proposed to modify the existing base station by removing 1 antenna, adding 3 antennas, removing 3 RRUs, adding 3 RRUs, and adding 1 19-inch rack. The modification would not substantially change the physical dimensions of the support structure. The proposed modification would not increase the height of the support structure by 10% or 10 feet; the modification would not add an appurtenance to the body of the support structure that would protrude from the edge of the structure by more than 6 feet; the modification does not install more than the standard number of new equipment cabinets for the technology involved, not to exceed four cabinets; the modification does not involve any excavation or deployment outside the current site; the modification does not defeat any concealment elements; and the modification does not violate any conditions associated with the siting approval. San Francisco did not notify T-Mobile in writing of any incompleteness in its application. San Francisco did not act on the application within 60 days after August 7, 2020. Consequently, on October 20, 2020, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 1455(a) and 47 C.F.R. § 1.6100, T-Mobile notified San Francisco that it deemed this application granted.
- 52. On August 7, 2020, T-Mobile Submitted an eligible facilities request application to modify its existing wireless transmission facility located on the roof of a building at 1501 Lincoln Way (Site ID SF53430A/Permit # 202009184484). The application proposed to modify the existing base station by replacing 6 antennas, replacing 9 RRUs, and adding 1 19-inch rack. The modification would not substantially change the physical dimensions of the support structure. The proposed modification would not increase the height of the support structure by 10% or 10 feet; the modification would not add an appurtenance to the body of the support structure that

5

1

11

12

10

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

2021

22

23

2425

26

2728

would protrude from the edge of the structure by more than 6 feet; the modification does not install more than the standard number of new equipment cabinets for the technology involved, not to exceed four cabinets; the modification does not involve any excavation or deployment outside the current site; the modification does not defeat any concealment elements; and the modification does not violate any conditions associated with the siting approval. San Francisco did not notify T-Mobile in writing of any incompleteness in its application. San Francisco did not act on the application within 60 days after August 7, 2020. Consequently, on October 20, 2020, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 1455(a) and 47 C.F.R. § 1.6100, T-Mobile notified San Francisco that it deemed this application granted.

- 53. On August 10, 2020, T-Mobile Submitted an eligible facilities request application to modify its existing wireless transmission facility located on the roof of a building at 2011 Bayshore Blvd. (Site ID SF03040B/Permit # 202009174427). The application proposed to modify the existing base station by adding 1 antenna and 1 RRU for each sector (total 3), removing 3 existing RRUs, and installing associated equipment. The modification would not substantially change the physical dimensions of the support structure. The proposed modification would not increase the height of the support structure by 10% or 10 feet; the modification would not add an appurtenance to the body of the support structure that would protrude from the edge of the structure by more than 6 feet; the modification does not install more than the standard number of new equipment cabinets for the technology involved, not to exceed four cabinets; the modification does not involve any excavation or deployment outside the current site; the modification does not defeat any concealment elements; and the modification does not violate any conditions associated with the siting approval. San Francisco did not notify T-Mobile in writing of any incompleteness in its application. San Francisco did not act on the application within 60 days after August 10, 2020. Consequently, on October 20, 2020, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 1455(a) and 47 C.F.R. § 1.6100, T-Mobile notified San Francisco that it deemed this application granted.
  - 54. On August 14, 2020, T-Mobile Submitted an eligible facilities request application

to modify its existing wireless transmission facility located on the roof of a building at 965

Mission St. (Site ID SF70039M/Permit # 202009093747). The application proposed to modify

the existing base station by replacing 3 antennas and adding 3 RRUs. The modification would not substantially change the physical dimensions of the support structure. The proposed modification would not increase the height of the support structure by 10% or 10 feet; the modification would not add an appurtenance to the body of the support structure that would protrude from the edge of the structure by more than 6 feet; the modification does not install more than the standard number of new equipment cabinets for the technology involved, not to exceed four cabinets; the modification does not involve any excavation or deployment outside the current site; the modification does not defeat any concealment elements; and the modification does not violate any conditions associated with the siting approval. San Francisco did not notify T-Mobile in writing of any incompleteness in its application. San Francisco did not act on the application within 60 days after August 14, 2020. Consequently, on October 20, 2020, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 1455(a) and 47 C.F.R. § 1.6100, T-Mobile notified San Francisco that it deemed this application granted.

#### FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

### (Violation of Section 6409(a), 47 U.S.C. § 1455(a))

- 55. T-Mobile incorporates by reference and realleges the foregoing factual allegations in paragraphs 1 through 54 as if fully set forth herein.
- 56. The City has failed to act on T-Mobile's applications identified in paragraphs 39 through 54 above within 60 days after T-Mobile's application. The City had not notified T-Mobile in writing within 10 days after submission of the application that any of the applications was incomplete. T-Mobile notified the City on October 20, 2020 that the applications are deemed complete, but the City has not acted to grant the applications. The City has, therefore, violated 47 U.S.C. § 1455(a) as to each of the applications.

# PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for the following relief:

# Case 4:20-cv-08139-KAW Document 1 Filed 11/18/20 Page 21 of 21

1	1. A declaration and judgment that the applications listed in paragraphs 39 through 5	
2	have been deemed granted.	
3	2. An order requiring the City of San Francisco to issue permits for the wireless	
4	facilities applications deemed granted in paragraphs 39 through 54 within 5 days of the Court's	
5	Order.	
6	3. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.	
7		
8		Respectfully submitted,
9 10	DATED: November 18, 2020	MINTZ LEVIN COHN FERRIS GLOVSKY and POPEO, P.C.
11		By: _/s/ Evan S. Nadel
12		Evan S. Nadel
13		T. Scott Thompson (pro hac vice forthcoming) Jonathan P. Garvin (pro hac vice forthcoming)
14		Attorneys for Plaintiff,
15		T-MOBILE WEST LLC
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	•	